PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

TRANSLATION INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY To: WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION P039694P0 See paragraph 2 below International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) International application No. 15.02.2006 12.05.2005 PCT/JP2006/302617 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC MATSUSHITA ELECTRIC INDUSTRIAL CO., LTD. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial Box No. V applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application **FURTHER ACTION** If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority ("IPEA") except that this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. Authorized officer Name and mailing address of the ISA/JP Date of completion of this opinion Telephone No. Facsimile No.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/JP2006/302617

Box	k No. l	Basis of this opinion	
1.	Wit	h regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of:	
	\boxtimes	the international application in the language in which it was filed	
		the translation of the international application into	, which is the language of a
		translation furnished for the purposes of international search (Rule 12.3(a) and 23.1(b)).	
2.		h regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application ention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:	and necessary to the claimed
	a.	type of material	
		a sequence listing	
		table(s) related to the sequence listing	
	b.	format of material	
		on paper	
		in electronic form	
	c.	time of filing/furnishing	
		contained in the international application as filed	
		filed together with the international application in electronic form	
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search	,
			, in the second
3.		In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) rel furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identi- filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.	ating thereto has been filed or ical to that in the application as
4.	Add	litional comments:	
		·	
			•
	-		
		•	

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/JP2006/302617

x No. V Reasoned statement citations and expla		pporting such statement
Statement		
Novelty (N)	Claims	·1-11
• • •	Claims	71-11
Inventive step (IS)	Claims	3, 8-11
	Claims	1, 2, 4-7
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-11
Citations and explanations:		·
Document 1:		•
	fication	and drawings annexed to the request of Japanese Utility
		/1983 (Laid-open No. 176771/1984),
(Mitsubishi Electric Co		-1
		nes 2 to 19; Figs. 3 and 4 (Family: none)
Document 2:		
		and drawings annexed to the request of Japanese Utility
		2/1982 (Laid-open No. 76074/1984),
(Morimatsu Denshi Ko	ogyo Ka	bushiki Kaisha)
23 May 1984, Page 1,	line 19	to page 2, line 2; Fig. 1 (Family: none)
Dogument 2:		•
Document 3: JP 2004-98174 A (Fan	ue I td)	
	uc Lu.)	,
02 April 2004, Fig. 2	Eig 2	
& US 2004/52630 A1,		
& EP 1396314 A1, Fig	3. 2	
Document 4:		
JP 2003-25270 A (Nac	hi-Fujik	koshi Corp.), 29 January 2003,
Paragraph 0014; Fig. 1		
Document 5:		,
		eavy Industries, Ltd.), 18 May 1999,
Paragraph 0008; Fig. 1	(Family	y: none)
		·

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/JP2006/302617

Supplemental Box

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient.

Continuation of: Box V

The inventions of claims 1, 4, and 5 do not appear to involve an inventive step based on documents 1 and 2 cited in the ISR. Adopting the connector described in document 2 in place of the terminal block described in document 1 would be easy for a person skilled in the art.

The invention of claim 2 does not appear to involve an inventive step based on documents 1 to 3 cited in the ISR. Adopting the connector described in document 2 in place of the terminal block described in document 1 would be easy for a person skilled in the art. Connection of the plurality of external devices described in document 4 to the robot described in document 1 also would be easy for a person skilled in the art.

The invention of claim 6 does not appear to involve an inventive step based on documents 1, 2, and 4 cited in the ISR. Adopting the connector described in document 2 in place of the terminal block described in document 1 would be easy for a person skilled in the art. Further, using the cable having a plurality of wire types as described in document 5, in place of the cable of the robot described in document 1 also would be easy for a person skilled in the art.

The invention of claim 7 does not appear to involve an inventive step based on documents 1 to 3 cited in the ISR. For the robot described in document 1, the connection between inside cable and external devices can be changed by changing the connection at the terminal block. Then adopting the connector described in document 2 in place of the terminal block described in document 1 would be easy for a person skilled in the art. Connecting the plurality of external devices described in document 3 to the robot described in document 1 also would be easy for a person skilled in the art.

The inventions of claims 3 and 8 to 11 are not described in any one of the documents cited in the ISR, and they are not obvious to a person skilled in the art.